

LITTLE HOOVER COMMISSION

August 26, 1999

The Honorable Gray Davis Governor of California

The Honorable John Burton
President Pro Tempore of the Senate
and members of the Senate

The Honorable Ross Johnson Senate Minority Leader

The Honorable Antonio Villaraigosa Speaker of the Assembly and members of the Assembly The Honorable Scott Baugh Assembly Minority Leader

Dear Governor and Members of the Legislature:

More than 100,000 California children wake up every morning in a bed other than their own. They are children who have been so abused, so neglected that the government has taken them from their parents. But their fate, now in our hands, is still uncertain.

These children represent a public trust of the highest order. Yet in many instances we fail to provide for their safety and well-being.

Even more injured children – no one knows how many – are still with their parents. The abuse is hidden or the neglect is not yet severe enough for the drastic step of protective custody. As public leaders, as community members and as adults we share a responsibility to help these children, as well.

The problems of these families are complex. The number of victims is growing. The programs charged with protecting and caring for abused children are overwhelmed. In most communities, there are never enough foster homes. In trying to meet the basic needs of all maltreated children, the specific needs of individual children often go unmet. Inevitably some boys and girls who should be rescued are not. Some boys and girls end up in foster homes that are as dangerous as their own. Contrary to the goal of keeping foster care short-term, for many children foster care becomes an endless nightmare.

Numerous reforms have been made to the State's child welfare programs – a sign of collective concern and growing frustration. Bill after bill has been drafted to mend the latest hole in the overloaded safety net. But still more children end up in foster care, for longer periods, denied the simple comforts of childhood.

Foster care should be – and can be – a healing place and more can be done to make it a nurturing refuge. But foster care is not the cure. Protecting children from abuse and neglect requires a broad spectrum of responses – from preventing abuse and strengthening troubled families, to helping reunited families and adoptive families make this life-altering adjustment.

Prevention and early intervention programs – whether intended to head off initial abuse or recurring abuse – reduce the trauma to children and the demand for foster care. These programs exist, but they are underdeveloped, as most of the funds are reserved for children after they have been taken from their parents.

This is the third time in a dozen years that the Little Hoover Commission has reviewed programs serving abused and neglected children. The Commission appreciates and admires the work of many Californians dedicated to helping maltreated children, from policy-makers who have worked tirelessly to foster parents who have loved generously. But sporadic leadership, ineffective management and a lack of accountability compromise the effectiveness of their labor.

Dozens of federal, state, county and community organizations have some responsibility for abused children. Yet no one person or agency is responsible for ensuring that efforts are coordinated. Children receive the help that programs offer, not what they need. Performance data is muddled or missing. Most of the funding is tied to rescuing children from abuse, rather than protecting children from abuse. And much of the resources are tied to specific services, discouraging agencies from thinking about the multiple needs of individual children.

The benefit of the numerous reforms and pilot projects is that lessons have been learned. The most productive reforms have tried to integrate the efforts of single-tasked government agencies. But most of these reforms are not statewide or systemwide.

To clarify the importance of this public mission, the Commission urges policy-makers to establish clear goals and direct the involved agencies to vigorously pursue them.

To solve the management issues, the Commission recommends that an Undersecretary of the Health and Human Services Agency be charged with responsibility for these children and the authority to focus programs on their needs.

To infuse accountability, the Commission recommends specific steps that should be taken to measure performance and allow for program managers and policy-makers to expand the best available reforms statewide.

Some of the tasks that the Commission would assign to the new undersecretary could be assigned to the existing management structure. But given its fragmented nature, to do so would hamper the possibility of success. Among the assignments is to forge a better partnership between state and county agencies, which can best be accomplished by an official capable of resolving problems at the state level that are limiting success at the county level.

The Little Hoover Commission stands ready to assist you in these efforts.

Sincerely,

Richard R. Terzian

Chairman

Now In Our Hands:

Caring For California's Abused & Neglected Children

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	i
Introduction	1
Background	5
Highest Quality of Care	21
Committing To Help Abused Children	23
Managing For Improvement	27
Assessing Performance	39
Thinking Long-Term	43
Targeted Abuse Prevention & Early Intervention	47
Intervening Early	49
Assessing Risk	55
Watching Welfare Reform	61
Quality Short-Term Foster Care	65
Ensuring Temporary Placement	67
Healing Alcohol And Drug Abuse	71
Enabling Relative Foster Care	75
Delivering Comprehensive Services	81
Improved Long-Term Outcomes	89
Reengineering The Adoption Process	91
Supporting Permanent Placement	95
Assisting Independence	99
Conclusion	105
Internet Sources of Information on Child Welfare	107
Appendices and Notes	109
Appendix A: Advisory Committee Members	111
Appendix B: Little Hoover Commission Public Hearing Witnesses	117
Appendix C: Legislation Cited in Report	119
Appendix D: Methodology for Foster Care Projections	121
Notes	127

Table of Sidebars

Court Appointed Special Advocate	10
Foster Family Agency Homes	11
Foster Family Financial Support	12
Foster Parent Licensing Process Steps	13
State Agency Responsibilities	14
Adoption Assistance Program	15
Major Services Associated with Programs for Abused Children & At-Risk Families	17
A Foster Parent's Story	18
Putting Education Out of Reach	
Placer County Integrated Service Model	32
Adequacy of Foster Care Workforce	35
County Child Welfare Staffing	36
Placer County Outcome Indicator Assessments	39
Obstacles to Accurate Information	40
Oregon Shines	42
Milestones in Foster Care Prevention and Family Preservation	50
Preventing Abuse in Elmira	52
Fourth Time's the Charm for Jeremiah	56
Yolo County Dependency/Family Court Unification	69
She Lost Four Children	72
Testimony of Tina Rodriguez	73
1997-98 Kin Care Legislation	78
Red Tape and Code Blue	81
No Guarantees of Health Care for Foster Children?	82
L.A. Educational Effort	83
Child-Centered Goals in Integrated Services	86
Little Aid For Parents	93
Family Conference Model	96
Harsh Realities for Foster Youth	
A Lifetime of Foster Care and Abandonment	
Fostering PRIDE	102

Table of Charts & Graphs

Children in Foster Care Have Tripled Since 1983	i
Most Reports Are For Neglected Children	5
Foster Care In California Has Dramatically Increased	5
A Growing Proportion of California's Children Are In Foster Care	6
Kids in Foster Care	7
Foster Care, Adoption & Child Welfare: Governor's Budget 1999-2000	
Child Abuse Reports 1988-1996	9
Foster Care Placements in 1997	11
Number of Children in Each Placement	12
Four Year Outcomes of Children Entering Dependent Care in 1992	15
Most Older Children Exit for "Other" Reasons	16
If These Trends Continue	23
Spending on Abused Children is Increasing	24
State Agencies & Departments Involved in Foster Care	28
Federal Funding Silos Limit Outcome-Based Management	30
Most Children are Removed Due to Neglect	49
Family Preservation Services Help Keep Kids Out of Foster Care in Los Angeles	51
Few Families Receive Intervention Services	55
Welfare vs. AFDC Foster Care	62
AFDC Foster Care: Average Monthly Number of Children & Annual Expenditures	62
One-Fourth of Foster Children Are Still in Care After 4 Years	67
Kin Care Has Increased Dramatically	75
Fewer Children Exit Kin Care	76
Different Support and Payment Levels for Relative and Non-Relative Caretakers	77
Few Children Are Adopted	91
Median Age of Public Agency Children Adopted, Selected States	91
Reducing Reentries Would Decrease Foster Care	95
A Small Number of Children Emancipate or Age Out of Foster Care	100